

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/654,933  | 09/01/2000  | Jay S. Walker        | 96-108XX            | 7050             |  |
| 22927 7590 07/11/2008<br>WALKER DIGITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC |             |                      | EXAN                | EXAMINER         |  |
| 2 HIGH RIDGE PARK                                       |             |                      | COLBERT, ELLA       |                  |  |
| STAMFORD,   | C1 06905    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 3696                |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 07/11/2008          | PAPER            |  |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/654,933 WALKER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Ella Colbert 3696 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 49-62.70 and 73-80 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) 29-62, 70, and 73-80 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosurs Statement(s) (FTO/SB/CC)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3696

### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 49-62, 70, and 73-80 are pending in the following communications: Notice of Appeal filed 10/30/06, Request for Pre-Appeal Conference filed 10/30/06, Pre-Appeal Conference decision 02/29/08 to Reopen Prosecution.

# 12.187 Reopening of Prosecution After Filing Notice of Appeal and Request for Pre-Appeal Conference

In view of the Request for Pre-Appeal Conference filed 10/30/06 and the Appeal Conference Decision of 02/29/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENDED. A new ground(s) of rejection is set forth here below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 .(if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an

appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal

brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 <u>CFR 4120</u> have been increased since they were previously paid, then the appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

The Applicants' Representative, Attorney Stephan Filipek was telephoned on 6/30/08 regarding a restriction that has been found while extensively reviewing the

Application/Control Number: 09/654,933 Page 3

Art Unit: 3696

claims. Attorney Filipek returned the telephone call on 7/02/08 and an election of one of the inventions did not result.

The restriction is set forth here below.

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 49-62 and 80, drawn to a method, apparatus, a medium encoded with a program for implementing a method for determining a first value and a second value for a parameter, calculating a payment, and providing an offer to a customer to provide payment to the customer if the customer agrees to the modification of the parameter, classified in class 705, subclass 39.
- II. Claims 70 and 73-79, drawn to a method, an apparatus, and a medium encoded with a program implementing a method for determining that a customer associated with the credit account is dissatisfied with the credit account, determining a term of the credit account, determining a payment to offer to the customer in exchange for modifying the term, presenting the customer with an offer to modify the term of the credit account and the offer to modify the term of the credit account including an offer of payment, classified in class 705. subclass 77.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Art Unit: 3696

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I has a method, apparatus, a medium encoded with a program for implementing a method for determining a first value and a second value for a parameter, calculating a payment. and providing an offer to a customer to provide payment to the customer if the customer agrees to the modification of the parameter and Invention II has a method, an apparatus, and a medium encoded with a program implementing a method for determining that a customer associated with the credit account is dissatisfied with the credit account, determining a term of the credit account, determining a payment to offer to the customer in exchange for modifying the term, presenting the customer with an offer to modify the term of the credit account and the offer to modify the term of the credit account including an offer of payment. The subcombination has separate utility such as Invention I can be used in other environments such as making payment calculations for a loan or a mortgage and Invention II can be used for determining the behavior of a consumer as to what makes the customer satisfied with the credit card or debit card or loan or mortgage terms offered.

Thus, the claims if filed in another application would not result in a double patenting rejection because of the divergent subject matter.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all

Art Unit: 3696

the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter:
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 3696

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The Examiner respectfully apologizes for having not found the restriction and made this requirement earlier in the prosecution.

Art Unit: 3696

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday, 5:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dixon Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ella Colbert/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696

July 2, 2008



| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under<br>Reexamination |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| 09/654,933              | WALKER ET AL.                              |  |  |
| Examiner                | Art Unit                                   |  |  |
| Ella Colbert            | 3696                                       |  |  |